

## Durham Research Online

---

### Deposited in DRO:

17 October 2019

### Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

### Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

### Citation for published item:

Donovan, Catherine and Barnes, Rebecca 'Help-seeking among lesbian, gay, bisexual and/or transgender victims/survivors of domestic violence and abuse: the impacts of cisgendered heteronormativity and invisibility.', *Journal of sociology*. .

### Further information on publisher's website:

<https://journals.sagepub.com/home/jos>

### Publisher's copyright statement:

### Additional information:

---

### Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

# Journal of Gender-Based Violence

## Agency, Resistance and the Non-'Ideal' Victim: How women deal with sexual violence --Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	JGBV-D-18-00031R3
<b>Article Type:</b>	Academic article
<b>Full Title:</b>	Agency, Resistance and the Non-'Ideal' Victim: How women deal with sexual violence
<b>First Author:</b>	Nicola Roberts
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Nicola Roberts University of Sunderland Sunderland, UNITED KINGDOM
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author E-Mail:</b>	nicola.roberts@sunderland.ac.uk
<b>Other Authors:</b>	Catherine Donovan Matthew Durey
<b>Abstract:</b>	Many undergraduate students in the UK fall into age groups particularly at risk from interpersonal violence. Recent evidence suggests a range of interpersonal violence is part of the university experience for a significant number of students. In this article, we report on the findings of an online survey of male and female students administered at a university in the north of England in 2016 exploring experiences of interpersonal violence during their time as a student. Focusing on the qualitative responses, 75 respondents, mostly women, wrote about their experiences of sexual violence. In presenting women's accounts, we challenge the construction of the 'ideal victim' who is viewed as weak, passive and without agency or culpability (Christie, 1986). Women adopt a range of strategies to actively resist men's sexual violence. In doing so, they challenge and problematise perpetrators' behaviours particularly tropes that communicate and forefront the heterosexual dating model of courtship. These findings raise implications for women's strategies of resistance to be viewed as examples of social change where victim-blaming is challenged, perpetrator blaming is promoted and femininity/victims are reconstructed as agentic. Universities must educate students about sexual violence, dating and intimacy, as well as provide support for victims of sexual violence.
<b>Keywords:</b>	sexual violence; resistance; non-'ideal' victims; dating model; education
<b>Additional Information:</b>	
<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>
<b>Key Messages</b>  Please enter 2-3 single sentence bullet points describing the key messages of the paper.	Women resist men's sexual violence committed against them. They challenge and problematise the heterosexual dating model of courtship. Universities must educate students about sexual violence, dating and intimacy.
<b>Word Count</b>  Academic articles should be between 5000 and 7000 words in length.  Policy and Practice articles should be between 2000 and 4000 words in length.  Open Space pieces should be between 2000 and 4000 words	6971

in length.	
<b>Special issue</b>  Is this a submission for a special issue? If so please give details of the special issue, including the Editor if known.	
<b>Conflicts of Interest</b>  Please declare any possible conflicts of interest, or state 'The Author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest' if there are none. Further information about conflicts of interest can be found in our <a href="#">Ethical Guidelines</a> .	The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.
<b>Funding Information:</b>	
<b>Manuscript Classifications:</b>	Age; Criminology; Gender; Qualitative - other; Quantitative - survey; Sexual violence; Sexuality; Sociology
<b>Manuscript Region of Origin:</b>	UNITED KINGDOM
<b>Author Comments:</b>	
<b>Response to Reviewers:</b>	The final non-anonymised manuscript includes items in the checklist, where appropriate.

## **Agency, Resistance and the Non-'Ideal' Victim: How women deal with sexual violence**

Dr Nicola Roberts<sup>1</sup>

Professor Catherine Donovan<sup>2</sup>

Matthew Durey<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Sunderland

<sup>2</sup>Durham University

<sup>3</sup>University of Sunderland

### **Abstract:**

Many undergraduate students in the UK fall into age groups particularly at risk from interpersonal violence. Recent evidence suggests a range of interpersonal violence is part of the university experience for a significant number of students. In this article, we report on the findings of an online survey of male and female students administered at a university in the north of England in 2016 exploring experiences of interpersonal violence during their time as a student. Focusing on the qualitative responses, 75 respondents, mostly women, wrote about their experiences of sexual violence. In presenting women's accounts, we challenge the construction of the 'ideal victim' who is viewed as weak, passive and without agency or culpability (Christie, 1986). Women adopt a range of strategies to actively resist men's sexual violence. In doing so, they challenge and problematise perpetrators' behaviours particularly tropes that communicate and forefront the heterosexual dating model of courtship. These findings raise implications for women's strategies of resistance to be viewed as examples of social change where victim-blaming is challenged, perpetrator blaming is promoted and femininity/victims are reconstructed as agentic. Universities must educate students about sexual violence, dating and intimacy, as well as provide support for victims of sexual violence.

### **Key words:**

Sexual violence; resistance; non-'ideal' victims; dating model; education

### **Word count:**

6,971

## Key messages:

- Women resist men's sexual violence committed against them.
- They challenge and problematise the heterosexual dating model of courtship.
- Universities must educate students about sexual violence, dating and intimacy.

## Introduction

In the ‘Hollywood Scandal’ that made headline news in 2017, the question was asked ‘why did women not say something at the time’ of experiencing Harvey Weinstein’s sexually violent behaviours? Many women, whether direct victims or not (and some men), have given reasons to explain their silence including: being wary of potential consequences for their careers and/or their reputations; their fear of not being believed, and of being told they have ‘misread’ the situation (see for example Campbell, 2017a; Campbell, 2017b). Far from being restricted to high-profile cases, these fears are endemic in women’s responses to sexual violence (for example Durbach and Grey, 2018). The ability and choice to speak out is not solely an individual one: social structural and cultural factors play a key part in ‘who can be heard’ (see Eyre, 2000). Some women, for example, *had* previously spoken out about Harvey Weinstein, in fact, as Campbell (2017b:17) says, ‘Weinstein’s predatory ways have been public knowledge for years; it’s just that nobody took any notice’. Who is heard can also be understood through the lens of power relations, for example, in her analysis of a sexual violence case, in a Canadian university, Eyre (2000:293-294) argues that:

[...] the voices of women students and feminist discourses on sexual harassment were either marginalised or silenced. [...] the discursive framing of sexual harassment constitutes power relations in the academy and ultimately legitimises sexual harassment [...]. Power operates discursively and works to support dominant interests.

A problem in accepting this power of dominant discourse can be to deny agency to individuals (Jackson, 1992; Calhoun, 1995), implying that individuals have no choice in the reconstruction of their own experiences: instead they ‘become the objects of [another] discourse’ (Worrall, 1990:22). Before the Weinstein scandal broke, this discourse and re-framing of sexual violence for the benefit of powerful men was oppressing and silencing less powerful women. However, dominant discourses are ‘not impervious to

dismantling' (Said, 1986:154) and individuals are not passive vehicles for dominant discourses (Garland, 2001; Robinson and McNeill, 2004). Individuals use language and discourse to make sense of their own realities. They thus have agency and choice in using discourse (Davies and Harre, 1990, 1999). Actively positioning themselves in less dominant discourses implies that individuals are 'both resisting and becoming complicit in their own moral regulation' (Luke, 1995:9). Yet it is not helpful to conceptualise the possibilities for those victimised as being binaried, i.e., that they are located as either with or without agency. Rather, as this article argues, it might be more useful to recognise the complexity of responses those who are victimised might inhabit in order to better provide social-structural responses that feel more relevant to 'real life' (see also Bay-Cheng, 2015). This article begins by outlining sexual harassment and sexual assault before reviewing the existing research on the nature, extent and impact of such sexual violence. An analysis of why sexual violence happens follows. In the next section, the dominant discourses around the binary of the 'ideal'/non-'ideal' victim of sexual violence are unpacked including a review of the research about how victims resist sexual violence. We then write about our methods before presenting an analysis of the findings from our research with students at a university in the north of England. The article ends with the implications of the findings for policies and practices.

## **Defining Features of Sexual Violence and its Prevalence**

The majority of what is called serious sexual violence, such as rape and sexual assault, happens in private spaces (Pain, 1991; Calkins et al., 2015), perpetrated by (ex-)partners rather than by strangers (Ministry of Justice, 2013). What is understood as low level sexual violence – because it is typically below the threshold of a crime - sexual harassment, is a 'common occurrence' in public spaces (Pain, 1991:421) and involves verbal abuse such as sexual comments. Sexual harassment can also involve physical abuse such as unwanted sexual touching (Kelly, 1988), which is also sexual assault and meets the legal threshold for crime (Sexual Offences Act, 2003). For example, in Kelly's (1988) interviews with 60 women, she found that 56 (93%) and 42 (70%) of them had experienced sexual harassment and sexual assault, respectively. Women were sexually harassed at work and in public places, by both known and unknown men, as well as by friends and ex-partners. Sexual harassment involved visual, verbal and physical acts, such as leering, whistles, propositioning, sexual joking, pinching and grabbing. Almost

1 half of the women said that sexual harassment was a normal experience with many of  
2 these women saying that it happened on a habitual basis. In terms of the sexual assault  
3 experienced by 42 women, this ‘always involved physical contact’ (ibid:103)<sup>i</sup>, such as  
4 being touched by strangers, on public transport or in the street, and attempted rape.  
5 Sexual assault was also committed by partners, friends and dates. Thus, women  
6 experienced sexual violence along a continuum (ibid).  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14 More recently, research in the UK, has focused on sexual violence being experienced by  
15 university students. Almost half (45%) of students at universities are under the age of 21  
16 (Universities UK, 2016) and thus they are in the age group (16-24) most at risk of violent  
17 victimisation (see the *Crime Surveys for England and Wales*). In a study of 580 female  
18 students, Stenning et al. (2013) found that 44% had reported experiencing sexual  
19 harassment since becoming a university student, with 47% of these students reporting a  
20 fellow student as the perpetrator. Of the 580 female students, 8% reported that they had  
21 experienced sexual assault (including serious sexual assault such as attempted rape and  
22 rape). A NUS (2011) survey of 2,058 female university students found that almost one  
23 in four respondents had experienced unwanted sexual contact. Over two-thirds (68%) of  
24 students had experienced verbal (e.g., sexual comments) and physical (e.g., groping)  
25 sexual harassment, within and outside their institution. For some students, these  
26 experiences were every day. Similarly, Phipps and Young (2015) in their research on 40  
27 female university students found that over two-thirds discussed sexual harassment as a  
28 normal aspect of university life. More recently, NUS (2018) research with 1,528 male  
29 and female students found women were more likely than men to report experiencing  
30 sexual violence and over three-quarters of perpetrators were male. Findings show that  
31 2.3% of respondents reported experiencing non-consensual sexual contact by a member  
32 of university staff and 30% of respondents reported a member of staff, predominantly an  
33 academic, making sexualised jokes and remarks, 8% of whom said that this had happened  
34 three or more times. Less than 1% of students reported experiences of sexual assault or  
35 rape. Sexual violence, particularly sexual harassment, is thus a pervasive feature in many  
36 female university students’ lives. As such, the focus of this paper is predominantly on  
37 sexual harassment and sexual assault, rather than serious sexual assault, of university  
38 students.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Explaining Sexual Violence

Walby (1990:128) argues that male violence against women – and we would add, women's responses to male violence - 'cannot be understood outside an analysis of patriarchal social structures'. Kavanaugh (2013:21) argues that much of the sexual victimisation that occurs in the 'hypersexualised contexts' of urban nightlife is normalised. Yet, Kelly (1988:97) argues that the unwanted sexual intrusions experienced by women, 'transform routine and/or pleasurable activities [...] into unpleasant, upsetting, disturbing and often threatening experiences'. McLaughlin et al. (2012) posit that power then is at the heart of such theorising of sexual harassment. Males sexually harass females to dominate them. In Quinn's (2002:392) research, where she interviewed both men and women, she argues 'girl watching', in its more serious form, is a social practice, a directed 'tactic of power', used in everyday settings, where men watch girls to sexually evaluate them. 'Girl watching' involves verbal gestures (e.g., 'check it out'), explicit comments about the woman's body or imagined sexual acts and boasts about sexual prowess (ibid:387). 'Girl watching' is trivialised as play, fun, and normalised as natural and commonplace, especially in the presence of other males: it is 'a game played by men for men' (ibid: 392). But not only for 'fun', it serves to (re)produce shared masculine 'identities, group boundaries and power relations' evoking a performance played to other men through which hegemonic masculinity is (re-)claimed: a masculinity characterised by dominance, strength, and an uncontrollable sexuality (ibid:393). Positioning women as sexual objects in this way also devalues their perspective. Quinn (2002) argues that by playing 'games' that objectify women, men distance themselves from the feminine other to facilitate practices that reinforce hegemonic masculinities. In doing so, they create contexts in which sexual harassment can be encouraged and normalised, violence is visited upon individual women, and patriarchal relations are reflected and reinforced (Walby, 1990; Radford and Stanko, 1996).

In Phipps and Young's (2015:13) research, female students ascribed such performances of masculinity as described in Quinn's (2002) research, to 'lad culture': a 'pack mentality' of group behaviour that is not necessarily 'attractive or accessible to individual men'. In their research, many of the female university students said that humour and 'banter'



1 normalised and trivialised worrying sexist attitudes and behaviours because such  
2 behaviour was excused as 'being a lad' (Phipps and Young, 2015:7). Being 'one of the  
3 lads' is typically associated with drinking alcohol, 'having a laugh' and objectifying  
4 women (Francis, 1999:357). Such 'laddish' behaviours are thought to be key features of  
5 social life at universities in the UK (Phipps and Young, 2015). For example, in Stenning  
6 et al's. (2013:110) research, one of the female participants in the focus group spoke about  
7 how new male students are initiated into the men's football or rugby club, by 'distributing  
8 FHM magazines and other pornographic material to new recruits in their welcome pack'.  
9 As Dempster (2009) argues women are then assessed by a group, in public, for sexual  
10 attractiveness *and* for their potential/availability to engage in heterosexual practices,  
11 especially in the night-time economy (Phipps and Young, 2015:7). As such, women  
12 experience sexual victimisation in this space (Kavanaugh, 2013).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

### 25 **'Fighting Back': The Agentic Victim**

26  
27  
28 Christie (1986:19) writes about the 'ideal victim': deserving of victim status because they  
29 are weak, they are doing a 'respectable project', and they cannot be blamed for being  
30 where they were. Thus, the 'ideal victim' is weak and passive, without agency and  
31 culpability. For example, Christie (1986:19) argues, the 'ideal' rape victim is a 'young  
32 virgin on her way home from visiting sick relatives, severely beaten or threatened before  
33 she gives in'. Such a construction of victimhood is unhelpful for several reasons. Among  
34 them are that victimhood comes to be constructed in line with a particular form of  
35 femininity which can create incorrect and damaging assumptions amongst both women  
36 and men about the 'kind of person' who is vulnerable to victimisation, for example, young  
37 men mistakenly do not realise their own vulnerability to experiencing violence in public  
38 spaces (Roberts, 2019). Another reason is that the 'ideal' victim trope constructs ideas  
39 about victim blaming, again, that both women and men can hold about the culpability of  
40 those victimised, for example, women can blame other women for their own sexual  
41 victimisation because it is thought that their own sexualised behaviour precipitated the  
42 sexual violence against them (see Kavanaugh, 2013). The construction of the 'ideal'  
43 victim is also unhelpful in constructing young women as a group who are always passive,  
44 weak and non-agentic and always at the mercy of predatory men. In this article we take  
45 the view that it is important to trouble this assumption and reflect the reality of young  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

women's experiences in order to recognise their agency and suggest ways that this can be built on to challenge violent masculinities. For example, victims report experiencing a range of emotions, thoughts and behaviours as a result of sexual violence: feeling angry, fearful, vulnerable, unsafe, a change in attitudes towards men, and a desire to avoid certain groups, men and places (Kelly, 1988). NUS (2018), for example, found that women were more likely than men to alter their behaviour, such as avoiding certain places as a result of the sexual violence they had experienced. Other strategies of resisting sexual violence include not walking alone (Kavanaugh, 2013), fighting back verbally and physically (Kelly, 1988; Kavanaugh, 2013; Rintaugu et al., 2014), leaving the situation (Kelly, 1988; Kavanaugh, 2013), avoiding the perpetrator, and speaking to somebody they trust (Rintaugu et al., 2014). Kelly (1988) argues that these strategies of resistance signify active opposition by women to deny men's attempts to control them.

Dunn (2012) and Jagervi (2014) note the role agencies such as the police and Victim Support have in helping victims to exercise such strategies of resistance and to 'fight back'. Jagervi (2014) noted that part of this process involves presenting the offender as deviant or a criminal. In this way 'criminality is framed as something foreign' (ibid:78). Yet much sexual harassment occurs on a habitual basis for perpetrators to be defined as deviant and their behaviours abnormal (Kelly, 1988; NUS, 2011; Phipps and Young, 2015). Although much sexual harassment is not criminal, when it is, the contexts in which women experience it, such as in the night-time economy, often make it culturally acceptable and normal (Kavanaugh, 2013). Fundamentally then, victims largely do not report their experiences to the police or victim services. In Kelly's (1988) research none of the sexual harassment experienced by the women was reported to the police. Five cases (out of 42) of sexual assault were reported to the police. In Stenning et al.'s. (2013) research, 10% of females reported their experiences of sexual harassment to the police and 13% reported them to the university (see also NUS, 2018). The predominant reasons given by women for not reporting include: blaming themselves for misjudging the situation (Stenning et al., 2013); constructing the incident as not serious (Stenning et al., 2013; NUS, 2018), and not being aware that the behaviours constituted sexual violence. Sexual violence is therefore also 'normalised and accepted within higher education spaces' (NUS, 2018:31). These perceptions of many victims that their experiences are normal or trivial can hinder them from coming forward to tell of their victimisation (see

also ONS, 2018a). However, just because women who are victimised do not report their victimisation to the police or victim services does not mean they do not do anything, including telling others (Jagervi, 2014). As we read the accounts of sexual violence given by respondents in our research it became clear that many of them present a very agentic account of their experience, where they adopt a range of responses that challenge any perception of them as being a passive victim.

## **The Survey**

The data presented here are from the Emerald Project, an online survey conducted in 2016 at a university in the north of England exploring male and female students' perceptions of safety, experiences of interpersonal violence, and help-seeking practices whilst a student at university. Given the sensitive nature of the survey, we invited key stakeholders (i.e. Campus Security, Legal Governance and Business Assurance, Student Health and Well-being, Students' Union, and the University Executive) within the University community to be part of a steering group to advise us on how best to undertake the study and manage the findings. We also invited students as 'critical friends' to read through and provide feedback on the survey. The research was approved by the University Research Ethics Committee. Students completing the survey were informed that they could withdraw from the survey at any time and decline to answer any questions they wanted to. They were also directed to a help sheet at the end of the survey that indicated a range of sources of help at the university, locally and nationally.

The survey received 1034 responses (approximately 10% of the student body sent the survey<sup>ii</sup>). Of these respondents, 70% were aged between 17-24 years with a mean age of 25 and a modal age of 21. This is comparable to the wider student body sent the survey: 70% of these students were aged between 18-24 years and they had a mean age of 25 years and a modal age of 21 years. Sixty-seven percent of respondents completing the survey were women and 33% were men. This is somewhat comparable to the wider student body sent the survey: 59% of these students were women and 41% were men. Sixty-four per cent of students completing the survey identified as White British, whereas 75% of the student body sent the survey identified as 'White'. Ninety percent of survey

respondents identified as heterosexual/straight, whereas 96% of the student body sent the survey identified as heterosexual. Seventy-nine percent of survey respondents had no known disability whereas 92% of the student body sent the survey had no known disability.

The survey asked students about their experiences of four kinds of violence and abuse: verbal abuse or bullying; physical violence or abuse; sexual violence or abuse; and stalking or online harassment. At the end of each section, they were invited to provide an account of a most serious incident of that type of violence. If they opted to provide such an account, they were then asked a detailed set of questions about the incident (e.g., whether they reported the incident and why, what impact the incident had on them). Seventy-five students from the survey provided qualitative responses about sexual violence as a result of responding to that invitation. Their accounts provide the focus of this paper. These students' ages ranged from 17 to 52, with a mean age of 23 and a modal age of 21. Ninety-five percent of them were female, 87% identified as White British, 73% identified as heterosexual/straight and 58% had no known disability. Students writing about their experiences of sexual violence were therefore more likely to be female, identify as White British, to have a disability and to not identify as heterosexual/straight compared to the survey respondents in general. Table 1 shows the breakdown of respondents by age, gender, ethnicity, sexuality and disability.

'Table 1 here'

The qualitative responses were coded in NVivo for themes pertinent to the research question (Seal, 2016), and which 'represent[ed] some level of patterned responses or meaning within the data set' (Braun and Clarke, 2006:82). We report on these themes and we focus here on women as they most often reported experiences of sexual violence. Wherever possible, their accounts are presented unedited and unabridged to show how women report their experiences of victimisation. The focus of the findings is on 'everyday' sexual harassment and sexual assault, rather than serious sexual assault, as this was the range of sexual violence most often reported by this sample.

## Analysis of the Findings

### *The Everyday Contexts of Sexual Violence*

The survey was divided into sections corresponding to the four different types of interpersonal violence (verbal abuse/bullying, physical violence/abuse, sexual violence/abuse and stalking/online harassment); however, respondents routinely wrote about their experiences of sexual violence within and alongside their experiences of other forms of violence/abuse. For these women, sexual violence permeated all types of abuse, and defied placement into neat pre-constructed categories. A significant minority of students regularly experience sexual harassment on and off campus (see also Phipps and Young, 2015), as the following excerpts illustrate:

Being approached by another student (male) when in the library, he was trying to "hit on me."

This happens from cars driving past. Sometimes the cars toot as they go past, and sometimes they roll their windows down, whistle and make rude comments.

Particularly dominant in the accounts of women and akin to previous research (Kavanaugh, 2013), were their experiences of sexual assault in pubs and clubs. As the following student states:

After a student night out a guy had forced me to kiss him by pulling me by my arm and holding me until he had kissed me. He was very drunk.

It was clear from women's accounts that perpetrators of sexual harassment were persistent and reluctant to take no for an answer. It is also clear from the account below that sexual violence is *not* a problem of unclear messages from women (see Amir, 1968) but a problem of men's predatory behaviour:

So pretty early in the semester, I was out at a bar dancing with my friends. This guy came up to me and he whispered in my ear asking if I wanted him to take me to bed. I said no, but he kept trying to get me to go home with him. He insisted he was a nice guy, and I said that I didn't care. I don't remember the exact words he used, but he said something about how he would be able to make me feel good and have a good time. At that point, I told him I wasn't going home with him and made my friends leave that bar and go somewhere else.

As Kelly (1988:83) noted: ‘the attention or behaviour of the man was experienced as intrusive, involving unwarranted assumptions of intimacy’. The female student resisted such sexual harassment and moved away from the perpetrator: what we term a short-term strategy to deal with sexual violence, which we discuss in the next section. In two ways, the female student might be perceived as a non-‘ideal’ victim because firstly, of the space she occupies and her behaviour (‘out at a bar dancing’) and thus, she might be thought of as ‘asking for it’, and secondly, she illustrates active agency in her resistance to the sexual harassment. But the sexual violence (often) had a significant impact on the female students who experienced it. Many of these impacts are found in the existing research (Kelly, 1988; NUS, 2011, 2018), and for our research, they fall into the following categories: feeling uncomfortable, annoyed, wary/fearful, and impacts on mental health, and academic study. Because of the range of impacts of sexual violence, female students develop a range of strategies of resistance to deal with sexual violence and it is to these that we now turn.

### *Resisting Sexual Violence: The Agentic Victim/Bystander*

Five themes related to resisting sexual violence were found. These were divided into short-term strategies: i) moving away from the perpetrator; ii) confronting the perpetrator; iii) collective resistance; iv) reporting the incident; and v) the long-term strategy of restricting behaviours, which might also include some collective resistance. Similar to the strategies found in the existing literature (Kelly, 1988; Kavanaugh, 2013), short-term strategies were of the moment, as the following excerpt illustrates moving away from the perpetrator:

I was sitting in the library doing work and a group of 'lads' started making inappropriate comments about the top that I was wearing. The things they were saying made me so uncomfortable that I ended up moving away from them.

The female student in the above account may be perceived as an ‘ideal’ victim and not culpable because she is doing respectable work; however, her resistance to the sexual violence by leaving the situation, suggests agency, rather than passiveness. Her position as a victim is not binaried, but nuanced: inhabiting contradictory and complex positions of *both* ‘ideal’ (non-culpable) and non-‘ideal’ (agentic) victim.

1  
2  
3 In the following account, the female student reporting her sexually violent experience in  
4 a nightclub illustrates confronting the perpetrator by fighting back verbally and  
5 physically, similar to the findings of existing research (Kelly, 1988; Kavanaugh, 2013;  
6 Rintaugu et al., 2014). She would most likely be perceived as a non-‘ideal’ victim  
7 (culpable because of where she is). Ironically, she may well have been perceived as the  
8 perpetrator:  
9

14 Male in nightclub tried to put his hand up my skirt resulting in me throwing  
15 my drink in his face. Same guy later on then tried to put his hand down my  
16 top resulting in me punching him in the face. He then tried to ask for my  
17 phone number and enquired if I was a lesbian when he wouldn't take my no  
18 (and then telling him I have a boyfriend) when I didn't want to give it to him.  
19  
20

21 Embedded in some of the women’s accounts is the dating model Fenton and Jones (2017)  
22 outline: the dominant male who initiates requests (persistently) for sex and courtship from  
23 an ordinarily submissive female. The existence of this heterosexual model of dating can  
24 help to explain how (perceptions of) men’s sexually violent behaviours are reconstructed  
25 as not harmful but ‘normal’ even when women are expected to ‘manage’ and resist at best  
26 intrusive and at worst, as the above account illustrates, violent, initiating behaviours as  
27 well as absorb men’s punitive intended to be degrading (homophobic) insults  
28 (questioning whether she must be a lesbian) when she refuses. Yet, women’s responses  
29 in our research show them persistently resisting this model of dating and, as in this  
30 account, fighting back against being violated. In doing so, they can be seen to challenge  
31 the normality of the ‘dominant’ heterosexual dating model of courtship and reconstruct it  
32 as harmful.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 In resisting sexual violence, respondents also enlisted the help of others, what we call  
48 collective resistance, as the following excerpt illustrates:  
49

51 [...] There are always middle aged single men who pry on all the girls.  
52 Especially the very drunk and alone ones. I have saved a drunk girl who I  
53 didn't know on a night out once. She ended up alone and two guys where  
54 pestering her and trying to get her in a car. I pretended I knew her and pit her  
55 in a taxi home so she was safe. [sic]  
56  
57

58 Contemporary police campaigns often promote collective responsibility to ensure  
59 individuals’ safety: exhorting, especially women, to ‘stay together’ when out at night and  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 avoid being alone (see for example Kent Police, 2018). Growing-up, young girls are told  
2 to avoid strangers (see Stanko, 1990), and they are often chaperoned (Valentine, 1992).  
3 These messages add to the perceived sexual vulnerability of lone women by both men  
4 and women. Both the men (described in the account above) and the woman giving the  
5 account also see the drunk 'girl' on her own as passive and weak because she is  
6 unchaperoned and drunk. Yet whilst the men are perceived by the woman giving the  
7 account as about to take advantage of the 'girl's' situational vulnerability, she herself does  
8 not leave her to her fate because she has 'asked for it'. Instead, she perceives the whole  
9 situation as harmful and becomes an active bystander. In doing so, she 'simultaneously  
10 sends a powerful message to the wrongdoer and to other bystanders about the social  
11 unacceptability of the [men's] behavior and the social acceptability of challenging it'  
12 (Fenton and Mott, 2017:451). Research, albeit outwith the UK has shown the positive  
13 aspects of bystander interventions for sexual violence on college campuses (Banyard et  
14 al., 2004; Coker et al., 2016). Bystander interventions are important because 'over time,  
15 the more interventions are made, the more the social norms that condition behavior will  
16 shift' (Fenton and Mott, 2017:451). In collectively resisting sexual victimisation, the  
17 female respondent telling the account above, has, perhaps inadvertently begun to  
18 challenge the perceived patriarchal power and entitlement of (heterosexual) men and the  
19 perceived vulnerability and culpability of (assumed to be heterosexual) women.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 Another way women in our research resist the sexual violence against them is by reporting  
39 the incident. As in other research (Kelly, 1988; Stenning et al., 2013; NUS, 2018), the  
40 proportion of women reporting formally is low and most reporting is informal as the  
41 following excerpt shows:  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 When I'd first started my course in my first year I was walking on [name of  
47 campus] campus to a lecture a man approached me randomly to ask me if I  
48 was taken. I was alone and it was quite nerve racking as this man was a  
49 complete stranger. I reported it to my lecturer at the time. [...].  
50  
51

52 Here the lone woman perceives herself as sexually vulnerable, and the stranger as  
53 predatory and harmful. In reporting the incident, she too is challenging and reconstructing  
54 the 'dominant' dating model of courtship, which in this excerpt illustrates the way in  
55 which dating language communicates tropes such as male possession: the question of  
56 whether she is 'taken'. It also illustrates the way in which any woman can be approached  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1 because they are assumed to be heterosexual and potentially available and responsive to  
2 the men. Such resistance to this heterosexual dating model is further evidenced in the  
3 range of reasons students gave for reporting the sexual violence: to get help, to stop it, to  
4 warn others, and because of the emotional impact. Female victims in our research are  
5 often not passive but agentic.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 *Resisting Sexual Violence: Agency within Limits*

12  
13 The long-term strategy of resisting sexual violence through restricting their behaviours  
14 was the most littered throughout women's accounts. This can be explained, as Walby  
15 (1989:224) argues, because male violence against women 'has a regular social form and,  
16 as a result of women's well-founded expectations of its routine nature, has consequences  
17 for women's actions'. Thus, avoidance behaviours where women change their routines to  
18 avoid particular places, groups or men are very common and our findings add to the  
19 existing literature (Kelly, 1988; Roberts, 2019). As this female student illustrates:  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 I used to not care about studying late in the library or being around uni late  
29 but now I always make sure I drive in to uni so I'm not followed even though  
30 I don't live far from the uni. I always leave when my friends leave and have  
31 them walk me to my car if it's parked in the car park and the houses behind  
32 [name of building].  
33  
34

35 As well as individual avoidance strategies, this student refers to collective resistance,  
36 which we have discussed above, as part of a long-term strategy to resist sexual violence.  
37 As a result of a previous experience this student is now exerting effort to ensure that, were  
38 she to be victimised, she would be perceived as an 'ideal' victim: her precautions evidence  
39 her lack of culpability. Simultaneously, however, her behaviours also give her a sense of  
40 safety and entitlement to inhabit the night time. Such avoidance behaviours are thought  
41 to account for women's relatively low victimisation rates of serious violence in public  
42 spaces (see Roberts, 2019). Yet her positioning as a (potential) victim is nuanced: whilst  
43 she is active and agentic in order to resist further victimisation, this is a constrained  
44 limited 'freedom' which to some extent concedes to the patriarchal social order.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

### 57 **Conclusion**

58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 A significant minority of women regularly experience sexual violence particularly sexual  
2 harassment. A limitation of our research is that the sample only includes those  
3 respondents willing to accept the invitation to outline a most serious incident of the  
4 violence they had experienced. An interesting aspect of the sample who did take up the  
5 invitation is that they were slightly less likely to identify as heterosexual/straight, and  
6 they were more likely to have a disability than the survey sample. We consider this a  
7 useful finding that adds to emerging research illustrating whilst gender is a crucial factor  
8 that predicts victimisation, it is intersecting identities that provide the more nuanced  
9 details about, for example, which groups of women are most likely to be victimised.  
10 Research indicates that bisexual women are more likely to report sexual violence than  
11 heterosexual women (NUS, 2018; ONS, 2018b). Results such as this require us to  
12 urgently problematise the ways in which heterosexuality – of perpetrators and those  
13 victimised - is assumed in most studies about sexual violence against women.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 The other finding that emerged from the qualitative accounts is that female students  
28 document a range of strategies to resist sexual violence. In doing so, women's positioning  
29 as victims is nuanced, challenging the 'ideal' construction of the victim who is passive  
30 and not culpable. When they endeavour to conform to that aspect of the 'ideal' victim,  
31 that expects non-culpability their choices are constrained as they concede to that  
32 heterosexual model of dating that perceives men as entitled to approach them. On the  
33 other hand, by acting agentially women challenge patriarchal ideals because they are  
34 both problematising the perpetrator's behaviour and their strategies of resistance can be  
35 seen as examples of social change. These strategies of resistance have implications for  
36 four interconnected ways forward: bystander interventions; education about healthy  
37 relationships; promoting acceptable behaviours; and reporting unacceptable behaviours.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 Universities UK (2016) recommended that bystander intervention programmes be  
52 implemented in universities to challenge the social norms and cultural contexts upholding  
53 sexual violence (Fenton and Mott, 2017). This paper argues that (ostensibly heterosexual)  
54 men sexually harass women because of at least two reasons. First, dominant discourses  
55 about heteronormative hegemonic masculinity result in 'lads' act together to sexually  
56 evaluate women. Such practices serve to secure the dominance of males amongst other  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

men (Quinn, 2002). When men in Quinn's (2002:397) research were asked to consider the 'game of girl watching from the perspective of a woman', they understood the harmful nature of the sexual violence. This raises implications for educating men about sexual harassment. However, Fenton and Mott (2017) note the challenges of engaging men in interventions. They argue that introducing 'bystander theory in a neutral context, avoid[ing] words associated with feminism, give men space to process emotions about the gendered aspect of violence, and reiterate that male participants are not being blamed' (ibid:452). Placing the prevention of sexual violence with the community, as in bystander interventions, also avoids an individual blame culture (ibid). The second reason we have explored is the existence of the dominant heterosexual model of dating that constructs a heteronormative binaried model for dating that relies on a persistent heterosexual male initiator and a submissive heterosexual female responder (see Fenton and Jones, 2017). Whilst sexual consent workshops at universities are becoming more commonplace for new students (see for example University of Bristol, 2015), more education about intimacy and dating needs to be implemented in the higher education context. Little of this is done in compulsory education although this is set to change (Children and Social Work Act, 2017). To avoid men becoming resistant to such interventions (Fenton and Mott, 2017), it is important to recruit positive male champions and allies to provide alternative constructions of masculinity to challenge group behaviour and a 'pack mentality' (Phipps and Young, 2015:13) that constructs other men as interested and impressed by male sexual 'conquests' (see Quinn, 2002).

Universities need to reinforce this education with a zero-tolerance approach to addressing sexual violence (NUS, 2011; Universities UK, 2016) by visibly presenting bold messages about appropriate behaviours: both on and off campus. This, coupled with practical and visible support within Universities for women and men to report their experiences of sexual violence (Phipps and Smith, 2012; Stenning et al., 2013) and visible systems of accountability will help promote the necessary culture shift. Finally, challenging dominant social ideas about the passivity and non-culpability of the 'ideal' victim by challenging victim blaming, promoting perpetrator blaming and reconstructing femininity/victims as agentic, could lead to contexts in which those who speak out about their experiences of sexual violence are listened to, and the onus for explaining their behaviour falls to those who perpetrate sexual violence.

## References

- Amir, M (1968) Victim Precipitated Forcible Rape, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 58 (4), pp. 493-502
- Banyard, V. L., Plante, E. G. and Moynihan, M. M. (2004) Bystander Education: Bringing a broader community perspective to sexual violence prevention, *Journal of Community Psychology*, 32 (1), pp. 61–79
- Bay-Cheng, L.Y. (2015) The Agency Line: A neoliberal metric for appraising young women’s sexuality’, *Sex Roles*, 73, pp. 279–291
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006) Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology, *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, (2), pp. 77-101
- Calhoun, C. (1995) *Critical Social Theory*. Oxford: Blackwell
- Calkins, C., Colombino, N., Matsuura, T. and Jeglic, E. (2015) Where do Sex Crimes occur? How an examination of sex offense location can inform policy and prevention, *International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice*, 39 (2), pp. 99-112
- Campbell, L. (2017a) Women’s Roar will Drown out this Mewling Minotaur, *The Sunday Times*, 15 October, p.17
- Campbell, M. (2017b) Revenge on Bond Girl who said No, *The Sunday Times*, 15 October, p.17
- Children and Social Work Act 2017, c.34.  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2017/16/section/34/enacted>
- Christie, N. (1986) The Ideal Victim, in Fattah, E. (ed) *From Crime Policy to Victim Policy: Reorienting the Justice System*. Basingstoke: Macmillan
- Coker, A. L., Bush, H. M., Fisher, B. S., Swan, S. C., Williams, C. M., Clear, E. R. and DeGue, S. (2016) Multi-College Bystander Intervention Evaluation for Violence Prevention, *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 50 (3), pp. 295-302

1 Davies, B. and Harre, R. (1990) Positioning: The discursive production of selves, *Journal*  
2 *for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 20 (1), pp. 43-63

3  
4 Davies, B. and Harre, R. (1999) Positioning and Personhood, in Harre R. and van  
5 Langenhove, L. (eds) *Positioning Theory: Moral contexts of intentional action*. Oxford:  
6 Blackwell, pp. 32-52

7  
8  
9  
10 Dempster, S. (2009) Having the Balls, Having it All? Sport and constructions of  
11 undergraduate laddishness, *Gender and Education*, 21 (5), pp. 481-500

12  
13  
14  
15 Durbach, A. and Grey, R. (2018) Grounds for concern: an Australian perspective on  
16 responses to sexual assault and harassment in university settings, in Anitha, S. and Lewis,  
17 R. (eds) *Gender Based Violence in University Communities: Policy, prevention and*  
18 *educational initiatives*. Bristol: Policy Press, pp. 83-104

19  
20  
21  
22 Eyre, L. (2000) The Discursive Framing of Sexual Harassment in a University  
23 Community, *Gender and Education*, 12 (3), pp. 293-307

24  
25  
26  
27 Fenton, R. and Jones, C. (2017) An Exploratory Study on the Beliefs about Gender-based  
28 Violence held by Incoming Undergraduates in England, *Journal of Gender Based*  
29 *Violence*, 1 (2), pp. 147-167

30  
31  
32  
33 Fenton, R. A. and Mott, H. L (2017) The Bystander Approach to Violence Prevention:  
34 Considerations for implementation in Europe, *Psychology of Violence*, 7 (3), pp. 450-458

35  
36  
37  
38 Francis, B. (1999) Lads, Lasses and (New) Labour: 14-16-year-old students' responses  
39 to the 'laddish behaviour and boys' underachievement' debate, *British Journal of*  
40 *Sociology of Education*, 20 (3), pp. 355-371

41  
42  
43  
44 Garland, D. (2001) *The Culture of Crime Control: Crime and social order in*  
45 *contemporary society*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

46  
47  
48  
49 Jackson, S. (1992) The Amazing Deconstructing Woman, *Trouble and Strife*, 25: 25-31

50  
51  
52  
53 Jagervi, L. (2014) Who wants to be an Ideal Victim? A narrative analysis of crime  
54 victims' self-presentation, *Journal of Scandinavian Studies in Criminology and Crime*  
55 *Prevention*, 15 (1), pp. 73-88

1 Kavanaugh, P. R. (2013) 'The Continuum of Sexual Violence: Women's accounts of  
2 victimisation in urban nightlife', *Feminist Criminology*, 8 (1), pp. 20-39

3  
4 Kelly, L. (1988) *Surviving Sexual Violence*. Cambridge: Polity Press

5  
6  
7 Kent Police (2018) *Safe Socialising*. [https://www.kent.police.uk/advice/safer-](https://www.kent.police.uk/advice/safer-socialising/)  
8 [socialising/](https://www.kent.police.uk/advice/safer-socialising/)

9  
10  
11 Luke, A. (1995) Text and Discourse in Education: An introduction to critical discourse  
12 analysis, *Review of Research in Education*, 21, pp. 3-48

13  
14  
15  
16 McLaughlin, H., Uggen, H. and Blackstone, A. (2012) Sexual Harassment, Workplace  
17 Authority, and the Paradox of Power, *American Sociological Review*, 77 (4), pp. 625-647

18  
19  
20  
21 Ministry of Justice (2013) *An Overview of Sexual Offending in England and Wales*.  
22 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/214970/s](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/214970/sexual-offending-overview-jan-2013.pdf)  
23 [exual-offending-overview-jan-2013.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/214970/sexual-offending-overview-jan-2013.pdf)

24  
25  
26  
27 NUS (2011) *Hidden Marks: A study of women students' experiences of harassment,*  
28 *stalking, violence and sexual assault.*  
29 [https://www.nus.org.uk/global/nus\\_hidden\\_marks\\_report\\_2nd\\_edition\\_web.pdf](https://www.nus.org.uk/global/nus_hidden_marks_report_2nd_edition_web.pdf)

30  
31  
32  
33 NUS (2018) *Power in the Academy: Staff sexual misconduct in UK higher education,*  
34 <https://www.nusconnect.org.uk/resources/nus-staff-student-sexual-misconduct-report>

35  
36  
37  
38 ONS (2018a) *Sexual Offences in England and Wales: Year ending March 2017,*  
39 [https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/crimeandjustice/articles/sexual](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/crimeandjustice/articles/sexual-offencesinenglandandwales/yearendingmarch2017#reporting-of-sexual-assault-by-rape-or-penetration)  
40 [offencesinenglandandwales/yearendingmarch2017#reporting-of-sexual-assault-by-rape-](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/crimeandjustice/articles/sexual-offencesinenglandandwales/yearendingmarch2017#reporting-of-sexual-assault-by-rape-or-penetration)  
41 [or-penetration](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/crimeandjustice/articles/sexual-offencesinenglandandwales/yearendingmarch2017#reporting-of-sexual-assault-by-rape-or-penetration)

42  
43  
44  
45 ONS (2018b) *Women Most at Risk of Experiencing Partner Abuse in England and Wales:*  
46 *Years ending March 2015 – 2017,*  
47 [https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/crimeandjustice/articles/wome](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/crimeandjustice/articles/womenmostatriskofexperiencingpartnerabuseinenglandandwales/yearsendingmarch2015to2017)  
48 [nmostatriskofexperiencingpartnerabuseinenglandandwales/yearsendingmarch2015to201](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/crimeandjustice/articles/womenmostatriskofexperiencingpartnerabuseinenglandandwales/yearsendingmarch2015to2017)

49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Phipps, A. and Smith, G. (2012) Violence Against Women Students in the UK: Time to take action, *Gender and Education*, 24 (4), pp. 357-373

Phipps, A. and Young, I. (2015) Neoliberalisation and “lad culture” in higher education, *Sociology*, 49 (2), pp. 305-322

Quinn, B. A. (2002) Sexual Harassment and Masculinity: The power and meaning of “girl watching”, *Gender and Society*, 16 (3), pp.386-402

Radford, J. and Stanko, E. A. (1996) Violence against Women and Children: The contradictions of crime control under patriarchy, in Hester, M., Kelly L. and Radford, J. (eds) *Women, Violence and Male Power: Feminist activism, research and practice*. Buckingham: University Press, pp.65-80

Ringtaugu, E. G., Kamau, J., Amusa, L. O. and Toriola, A. L. (2014) The Forbidden Acts: Prevalence of sexual harassment among university female athletes, *African Journal for Physical, Health Education, Recreation and Dance*, 20 (3:1), pp. 974-990

Roberts, N. J. (2019) ‘Gender, Sexual Danger and the Everyday Management of Risks: The social control of young females, *Journal of Gender Based Violence*, 3 (1), pp. 29-43

Robinson, G. and McNeill, F. (2004) Purposes Matter: Examining the ‘ends’ of probation, in Mair, G. (Ed.) *What Matters in Probation*. Cullompton: Willan Publishing, pp. 277-304

Said, E. W. (1986) ‘Foucault and the Imagination of Power’, in Hoy, D. C. (ed) *Foucault: A critical reader*. Oxford: Blackwell, pp. 149-155

Seal, A. (2016) Thematic Analysis, in Gilbert, N and Stoneman, P. (Eds.) *Researching Social Life* (4<sup>th</sup> edn). London: Sage, pp. 443-459

Sexual Offences Act 2003, c.42.  
<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/42/section/3>

Stanko, E. A. (1990) *Everyday Violence: How women and men experience sexual and physical danger*. London: Pandora

1 Stenning, P., Mitra-Kahn, T. and Gunby, C. (2013) 'Sexual Violence against Female  
2 University Students in the U.K.: A case study', *Rivista di Criminologia, Vittimologia e*  
3 *Sicurezza*, 7 (2), pp. 100-119  
4

5  
6 University of Bristol (2015) *Sexual Consent Workshop: Participant Workbook Welcome*  
7 *Week*. [https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/students/documents/participant-](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/students/documents/participant-workbook.pdf)  
8 [workbook.pdf](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/students/documents/participant-workbook.pdf)  
9

10  
11  
12 Universities UK (2016) *Changing the Culture: Report of the Universities UK Taskforce*  
13 *examining violence against women, harassment and hate crime affecting university*  
14 *students*. [http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/policy-and-analysis/reports/Pages/changing-](http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/policy-and-analysis/reports/Pages/changing-the-culture-final-report.aspx)  
15 [the-culture-final-report.aspx](http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/policy-and-analysis/reports/Pages/changing-the-culture-final-report.aspx)  
16  
17  
18

19  
20  
21 Valentine, G. (1992) Images of Danger: Women's sources of information about the  
22 spatial distribution of male violence, *Area*, 24 (1), pp. 22-29  
23  
24

25 Walby, S. (1989) Theorising Patriarchy, *Sociology*, 23 (2), pp. 213-234  
26

27  
28 Walby, S. (1990) *Theorising Patriarchy*. Oxford: Blackwell  
29

30  
31 Worrall, A. (1990) *Offending Women: Female lawbreakers and the criminal justice*  
32 *system*. London: Routledge  
33  
34  
35

---

36 <sup>i</sup> Sexual harassment could also involve physical contact because women experience sexual violence  
37 along a continuum where 'sexual assault shades into sexual harassment' and thus there was some  
38 overlap in how women defined sexual harassment and sexual assault (Kelly, 1988:107).  
39

40 <sup>ii</sup> Excluding distance campuses.  
41

42  
43 Conflict of interest statement: The Authors declare that there is no conflict of  
44 interest.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



## Table for Article

Table 1: Socio-demographics of Respondents

		<u>Survey Respondents</u>		<u>Respondents Writing about Sexual Violence</u>	
		%	n	%	n
Age	Mean	n/a	25	n/a	23
	Mode	n/a	21	n/a	21
Gender	Male	33	337	5	4
	Female	67	691	95	70
Number of Students			1028*		74*
Ethnicity	White British	64	657	87	65
	Other	36	363	13	10
Numbers of Students			1020*		75
Sexuality	Heterosexual/ Straight	90	910	73	55
	Other	10	102	27	20
Numbers of Students			1012*		75
Disability	None known	79	817	58	43
	Yes	21	217**	42	31
Number of Students			1034		74*
Total Students (n)			1,034		75

\*difference in figures is missing cases

\*\*figure may also include missing cases<sup>i</sup>

---

<sup>i</sup> Due to the way the questions were asked about disability, we are unable to decipher missing cases.